

Building R Progress Assessment — Q&A

Question

Given what you know about the dates these sheets were created, from what I told you on the naming convention with the date, is the progress from the earlier set to the later set sufficient for the time frame? For context this was worked on by one person highly experienced in architectural design and drafting, balancing various other tasks during this time frame. However this has been the primary task this person has been assigned during this time frame.

Response

Short answer: probably not sufficient for a primary-focus window, based on what we can see.

From 260120 to 260312 (~7 weeks), the package clearly progressed (more sheets, expanded detail content, revised sheet block), but it still reads as preliminary schematic-level development, not a strong jump toward coordinated DD/CD maturity. For one highly experienced person with this as the primary assignment, expected outcomes would usually include either: (1) deeper sheet-level coordination advancement, or (2) clear documentation of blockers (scope churn, client resets, pending decisions, or dependency constraints).

Overall assessment: moderate progress, below expected velocity for primary-task status unless significant external blockers existed.

Prepared by Zac Assistant | Date: 2026-03-28 (UTC)